## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

MIGUEL ORTEGA, ET AL.,	
	CASE NO. C07-2659 JCS
Plaintiff(s),	CASE NO. C07-2039 JCS
(5),	
ν.	CTYDIN A TION AND COD COOK
ITY OF OAKLAND, ET AL.,	STIPULATION AND (PROPOSED)
AT OF ORRESTIND, ET AC.,	ORDER SELECTING ADR PROCESS
Defendant(s).	t i
Detendant(s).	
Councel magnet that the author to	
ollowing stipulation pursuant to Civil L.R.	d conferred regarding ADR and have reached the
one wing superation pursuant to Civil L.R.	10-8 and A.D.R. L. R. 3-5:
The mostice amount of the state of the	
he parties agree to participate in the follow	ing ADR process:
C	
Court Processes:	
Non-binding Arbitration (AD	R L.R. 4)
Early Neutral Evaluation (EN	E) (ADR L.R. 5)
✓ Mediation (ADR L.R. 6)	
DR phone conference and may not file this DR Phone Conference. See Civil Local Rul	than any other form of ADR, must participate in an sform. They must instead file a Notice of Need for le 16-8 and ADR L.R. 3-5)
Private Process:	
Private ADR (please identify)	process and provider)
he parties agree to hold the ADR session by	y;
✓ the presumptive deadline (The	e deadline is 90 days from the date of the order
referring the case to an ADR	process unless otherwise ordered.)
other requested deadline	
0/71-	MIDNA
Dated: 9/7/07	LOX KILLX
	Attorney for Plaintiff O
nated: 917107	00 1 6011
ated: 917(07	106/201

Page 2 of 2

## [PROPOSED] ORDER

Pursuant to the Stipulation above, the captioned matter is hereby referred to:

Non-binding Arbitration

Early Neutral Evaluation (ENE)

✓ Mediation
Private ADR

Deadline for ADR session

√ 90 days from the date of this order, other

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: 09/12/07

Joseph Judge Joseph C. Spero
UNITED JUDGE